IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

SCOTT ELLIS, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court,

CASE NO: 05-2

05-2013-CA-069095

Petitioner,

v.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF FLORIDA'S SPACE COAST, INC., a Florida non-profit corporation,

Respond	ent.		

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE IS GIVEN that ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF FLORIDA'S SPACE COAST, INC., (the "EDC"), a Florida non-profit corporation, Respondent/Appellant, appeals to The Fifth District Court of Appeal, the order of this Court on Petitioner's Second Amended Petition for Access to Public Records, rendered April 3, 2014. The nature of the Final Judgment is a final order requiring the EDC to produce all records requested by Petitioner, SCOTT ELLIS, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court, or to provide a privilege or exemption log specifying the record and basis for non-disclosure. A copy of the Final Judgment is attached hereto.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by e-service to:

Kevin C. McBride, Esq. Staff Counsel to the Clerk, P.O. Box 999, Titusville, Florida 32781
0999, kevin.mcbride@brevardclerk.us and legal.assistant@brevardclerk.us; Curt Jacobus, Esq.,

DEAN MEAD

KIMBERLY BONDER REZANKA
Florida Bar No. 0930342
8240 Devereux Drive, Suite 100
Melbourne, Florida 32940
(321) 259-8900 telephone
Attorneys for Respondent
krezanka@deanmead.com
lmetress@deanmead.com

SCOTT ELLIS, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court,

Petitioner,

V

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF FLORIDA'S SPACE COAST, INC., a Florida non-profit corporation,

Respondent.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 05-2013-CA-069095-XXXX-XX

FINAL JUDGMENT

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court on a two-day evidentiary hearing on January 29, 2014 and January 31, 2014, and the Court having fully considered the evidence in the record and the arguments presented by counsel for the parties, and the Court being otherwise fully advised in the premises, the Court makes the following finding of fact and conclusion of law:

- 1. The Petitioner, Scott Ellis, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court (the "Clerk") filed a Second Amended Petition for Access to Public Records claiming entitlement to the production of public records from Respondent, Economic Development Commission of Florida's Space Coast, Inc. (the "EDC").
- 2. The documents in the possession of the EDC as a private entity must be produced as public records because Brevard County has delegated a statutorily authorized function to the EDC and the records generated by the EDC's performance of that duty are public records.

Weekly Planet, Inc. v. Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, 829 So. 970 (Fla 2d DCA 2002) Stanfield v. Salvation Army, 695 So. 2d 501 (Fla 5th DCA 1997).

- 3. It is first necessary to address the statutorily authorized function which is the subject of the delegation. It is then necessary to determine whether the statutorily authorized function was contractually delegated to the EDC by Brevard County in the agreement entered on August 21, 2012. Petitioner's Ex. 8.
- 4. Section 125.01(1)(w), Florida Statues provides that the governing body of the county shall have the power to:

"Perform any other action not inconsistent with the law, which acts are in the common interest of the people of the county, and exercise all powers and privileges not specifically prohibited by law."

- 5. In addition, it is provided at (3)(a) as follows:
 - "The enumeration of powers herein shall not be deemed exclusive or restrictive, but shall be deemed to incorporate all implied powers necessary or incident to carrying out such powers enumerated, including specifically, authority to employ personnel, expend funds, enter into contractual obligations, and purchase or lease and sell or exchange real or personal property."
- 6. The authorized function applicable in this case is economic development. Promoting economic development is a traditional and long-accepted function of government. Kelo v. City of New London Connecticut, 545 U.S. 469, 484 (2005). This Supreme Court of United States case was recognized in Footnote 1 in the case of Fulmore v. Charlotte County, 928 So. 2d 1281 (Fla 2d DCA 2006).

7. In addition, as it relates to Chambers of Commerce, there are decisions dealing with local governmental assistance to Chambers of Commerce. The Supreme Court of Florida in Raney v. City of Lakeland, 88 So. 2d 148 (Fla. 1956) at page 151 opined:

"Undoubtedly, Bailey v. City of Tampa, Supra, was a pilot authority for the growth and development of the Chambers of Commerce that have contributed so extensively to the economic, social and cultural advancement of Florida, both at the state and local level. In that instance the City of Tampa was employing the facilities of a quasi public non-profit corporation to cooperate in the performance of a worthwhile and proper function of municipal government."

- 8. The case of *Bailey v. City of Tampa*, 92 Fla 1030, 111 So. 119 (Fla. 1926) dealt with an agreement in writing to convey to the Tampa Board of Trade a piece of property. The Tampa Board of Trade agreed to erect a building within three years and, if failed to do, to reconvey the building to Tampa. The expense and cost of the building was to be paid by the Tampa Board of Trade without any obligation or expense by the City of Tampa. The Tampa Board of Trade agreed to reconvey to the City of Tampa with the improvements thereon upon the retiring or liquidating the bonds, notes or mortgages issued against the property for the erection of the building and all that to occur not later than 35 years. It was further agreed that the Tampa Board of Trade could use the building for carrying out the purposes of the Tampa Board of Trade. The Supreme Court of Florida affirmed the chancellor's decree approving this transaction.
- 9. Economic development and nurturing economic advances promulgated by the Chambers of Commerce are appropriate governmental functions.

- 10. It is now necessary to determine whether such function was delegated to the EDC. The determination requires an examination of the agreement executed on August 21, 2012 by Brevard County and the EDC. Petitioner's Ex. 8.
- 11. There is prefatory language in the recital to the agreement. Where the operative portion of the agreement is comprehensive and unambiguous, prefatory language should not be considered to vary the unambiguous terms of the operative portion of the document. *Orlando Lake Forest Joint Venture v. Lake Forest Master Community*, 105 So. 3d 646 (Fla. 5th DCA 2013).
- 12. The relevant operative language is at 2(L) of the agreement and EDC agreed to accomplish the following task on behalf of Brevard County:
 - "Assist local Chambers of Commerce and local economic development councils in their efforts to expand the business and industrial base of Brevard County, provided that any information obtained by the EDC from any of the above organizations shall not be divulged to any other person, firm organizations, or agency without the express approval of the cooperating party."
- The agreement entered into on May 2, 1989 between the Brevard Economic Development Corporation and Brevard County had a similar provision numbered 12. Petitioner's Ex. 20.
 - 14. Mr. William Potter testified in the evidentiary hearing about the following:
 - i. In 1970 he was appointed to the Brevard County Economic Council (the "Council"). R. 403.¹
 - ii. Its role in 1970 was to promote industrial and tourist development. R. 403.

¹ The Record will be designated by "R." followed by the appropriate page numbers.

- iii. The Council advertised the County, appealed to industry seeking to relocate and dealt with local industries looking to expand. R. 404.
- iv. When Mr. Potter was originally appointed, the Council had an executive director who was a County employee, two assistants (one for tourism and one for industry) and two or three administration people. R. 404.
 - v. The County paid the employees. R. 405.
 - vi. The Council terminated in 1989 and was privatized. R. 405.
- vii. A new organization, a non profit corporation, was formed, and the functions previously conducted by the Council were assumed by the new private organization. R. 405.
 - viii. The objectives were the same. R. 405.
 - ix. William Potter was the first chairman of the private corporation. R. 406.
- X. There was a contract for services between the County and the private corporation. R. 406.
- xi. Hank Evans and William Potter drafted the Articles of Incorporation for the Brevard Economic Development Corporation (the "Corporation"). Petitioner's Exhibit 7. R. 407.
- xii. The Council was terminated and the new corporation was formed because a number of local businessmen wanted to have more input and that the new corporation would not be encumbered by County requirements. R. 408.

- xiii. The evaluation of whether there should be privatization was made by existing members of the Council and representatives of the Chambers of Commerce's industrial development arms. R. 409.
- xiv. Mr. Potter observed that in effect there were four organizations doing industrial development in the County. R. 411.
- xv. The chambers were trying to do industrial development as well as the Council, R. 411.
 - xvi. There should be one. R. 411.
- xvii. Some of the Board members and Staff came over from the Council to the EDC. R. 422.
 - xviii. Equipment and furniture came over too. R. 422.
- xix. Some of the business leaders in the County thought the Council would be more effective if it were a private organization. R. 424.

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the scope of the agreement delegated economic development of Brevard County to the Economic Development Commission of Florida's Space Coast, Inc. Accordingly, any records generated in carrying out those duties are public records subject to inspection.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Economic Development Commission of Florida's Space Coast, Inc. shall produce all records requested by Scott Ellis, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court, within 30 days of the date of this Order.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that if the Economic Development Commission of Florida's Space Coast, Inc. believes certain records are not subject to inspection,

the EDC shall provide a privilege or exemption log specifying the record and basis for non-disclosure. The log shall be provided to the Court within thirty (30) days or the records shall be otherwise disclosed.

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the request for attorneys' fee by Scott Ellis, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court is denied. The predicate for attorney fees pursuant to Section 119.12, Florida Statutes is an unlawful refusal. The status of the EDC as an agency under Chapter 119 was unclear when the records requests were made. The EDC reasonably and in good faith denied the Chapter 119 requests because the EDC's status as an agency was unclear. B&S Utilities, Inc. v. Baskerville-Donovan, Inc., 988 So. 2d 17 (Fla. 1st DCA 2008); L.E. Harold v. Orange County, Florida, 668 So. 2d 1010 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996); New York Times Company v. PHH Mental Health Services, Inc., 616 So. 2d 27 (Fla. 1993).

JOHN D. MOXLEY, JR.

Circuit Judge

Copies Furnished to:

Scott Ellis, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court c/o Curt Jacobus, Esq.
GrayRobinson, P.A.
P.O. Box 1870
Melbourne, Florida 32902-1870
curt.jacobus@gray-robinson.com
kelly.farris@gray-robinson.com

Scott Ellis, in his official capacity as Brevard County Clerk of the Circuit Court c/o Kevin C. McBride, Esq. P.O. Box 999
Titusville, Florida 32781-0999
kevin.mcbride@brevardclerk.us
legal.assistant@brevardclerk.us

Economic Development Commission of Florida's Space Coast, Inc. c/o Kimberly Bonder Rezanka, Esq.
Dean Mead
8240 Devereux Drive, Suite 100
Viera, Florida 32940
krezanka@deanmead.com
lmetress@deanmead.com